**OVERALL ASSESSMENT (0–12 pts):**

**Review of Application Submitted by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Review Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. ***Quality of research plan (rating 1–6; average score for two sub-section ratings)***

***1.1 Scientific quality and innovativeness of research plan (sub-rating 1–6):***

* ***Guiding questions****: What is the significance of project? Are the objectives and hypotheses clear? Is there an inherent ambitiousness and state of the art described in the project objectives (possible novel concepts and approaches or development across disciplines)? What is the scientific impact of the research? Is there a potential for breakthroughs or exceptionally significant outcomes?*
* **Comments:**

***1.2 Feasibility of research plan (sub-rating 1–6):***

* ***Guiding questions****: Are the objectives and hypotheses appropriately presented and is the research plan feasible (bearing in mind the extent to which the proposed research may include high risks)? Are the research methods and materials appropriate? How well does the applicant acknowledge potential scientific or methodological problem areas, and how does the applicant consider alternative approaches? Is the management of the proposed plan appropriate and well planned? Does the research environment support the project, including appropriate research infrastructures?*
* **Comments**:

***1.3 Responsible science (no numerical rating)***

* ***Guiding questions:*** *Has the applicant considered different aspects of responsible science? Please comment especially if there are shortcomings in any of the following aspects: research ethics; promotion of equality and nondiscrimination within the project or in society at large; open access to research publications; data management and open access to data; sustainable development.*
* ***Comments****:*

***1.4 Societal effects and impact of the project (no numerical rating)***

* ***Guiding questions:*** *Does the project offer any societal effects and what is the impact of the project itself or its outcomes?*

***2 Competence of applicant(s), quality of research collaborations (rating 1–6):***

**2.1 Competence and expertise of applicant(s) (sub-rating 1–6):**

* ***Guiding questions****: What are the personal merits and scientific expertise of the applicant? Are they appropriate and sufficient for the proposed project? What are the personal competencies of the applicant in terms completing the proposed project? Does the research plan advance the applicant’s professional competence and independence?*
* **Comments**:

***3.1 Main strengths and weaknesses of project, additional comments and suggestions***

**Strengths:**

**Weaknesses:**

**Comments:**